

Leadership DevelopmentSample List, Statements and Reports

Type	Statement, Report, or Graph	Plans included?	Sector	Type of Impacts
Business Outcome	List	Yes	Private	Quantitative
Business Outcome	List	Yes	Private	Qualitative
Business Outcome	Statement	No	Private	Quantitative
Effectiveness	List	No	Private	Quantitative
Effectiveness	Statement	No	Private	Quantitative
Efficiency	List	No	Private	Quantitative
Efficiency	Statement	No	Private	Quantitative
Summary	Report	Yes	Private	Qualitative
Program	Report	Yes	Private	Mixed
Operations	Report	Yes	Private	Quantitative

Version 6.0

Revision Date: 30-April-2019



Sample List of Outcome Measures and Programs with Quantitative Impacts

Home

Priority 1	Business Outcomes and Impact of Leadership Development Revenue: Increase Sales by 20%	Unit of Measurement	2018 Actual	<u>2019</u> <u>Plan</u>
·	Corporate Goal or Actual Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	% None planned	10%	20%
	· ·	rtorio piaririou		
2	Leadership: Increase Leadership Score by 5 Points to 73.5% (1) Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	1 pt	5 pts
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	Points	.5 pt	2.5 pts
	Leadership Development Initiatives New leadership program for managers	Number	NA	500
	Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	501	2500
	New high potential program	Number Number	23	50
	Coaching for Dept Heads and Officers Total number of leaders participating	Number	<u>19</u> 543	<u>40</u> 3090
	Initiative to extend tenure in position for all dept. heads and officers	Months	20	27
3	Engagement: Increase Engagement Score by 3 Points to 69.4% (1)			
	Corporate Goal or Actual Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	Points Points	1 pt .2 pts	3 pts 1 pt
	Leadership Development Initiatives	1 onto	.2 pts	īρι
	New leadership program for managers	Number	NA	500
	Revised leadership program for supervisors Total number of leaders participating	Number Number	<u>501</u> 501	2500 3000
	, otal names of loads o participating	· rambon		0000
4	Safety: Reduce Injuries by 20%	%	10%	200/
	Corporate Goal or Actual Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	% None planned	10%	20%
		'		
5	Costs: Reduce Operating Expenses by 15% Corporate Goal or Actual	%	5%	15%
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned	370	1370
6	Call Center Satisfaction: Improve Satisfaction Score by 4 Points to 80% Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	1.6	4 pts
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned		, p.o
7	Comply with New Regulations			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	% in compliance	100%	100%
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned		
8	Innovation: Generate 10 New Patentable Ideas			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	Number	4	10
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned		
9	Retention: Improve Retention of Top Performers by 5 Points to 90%			_
	Corporate Goal or Actual Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	Points Points	-3 pts .5 pt	5 pts 1 pt
	Leadership Development Initiatives	1 Ollits	.5 ρι	īρι
	New leadership program for managers	Number	NA	500
	Revised leadership program for supervisors New high potential program	Number Number	501 <u>23</u>	2500 <u>50</u>
	Total number of leaders participating	Number	524	3050
40	· · ·			
10	Diversity: Increase Diversity Index by 4 Points to 50% Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	1.1 pts	4 pts
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned	1.1 263	- pto

NOTES: (1) Leadership and engagement scores from the semi-annual employee engagement survey

Preparer Notes: The statement could contain a mix of quantitative and qualitative impact measures for the inititatives. For example, a more easily quantifiable goal like increasing sales might have a quantitative impact for the initiatives (like the 4% increase in sales due to the initiative), while a goal like increasing leadership or engagement scores might have a qualitative impact for the initiative like high, medium, or low. For some goals, the initiative may simply be "Essential" to achieving the goal.

tdrp Talent Development Reporting principles

Leadership Development

Sample List of Outcome Measures and Programs with Qualitative Impacts

Home

Priority	Business Outcomes and Impact of Leadership Development	Unit of Measurement	<u>2018</u> Actual	<u>2019</u> Plan
1	Revenue: Increase Sales by 20%	Unit of Weasurement	Actual	Fiaii
	Corporate Goal or Actual	%	10%	20%
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned	1070	2070
	impact of Zoddoronip Bottorophilotic initiativos	rtono piannou		
2	Leadership: Increase Leadership Score by 5 Points to 73.5% (1)			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	0 pts	5 pts
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	High/Med/Low	Medium	High
	Leadership Development Initiatives			
	New leadership program for managers	Number	0	500
	Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	0	2500
	New high potential program	Number	<u>0</u>	<u>50</u>
	Total number of leaders participating	Number	0	3050
	Initiative to extend tenure in position for all dept. heads and officers	Months	20	27
_				
3	Engagement: Increase Engagement Score by 3 Points to 69.4% (1)			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	1 pt	3 pts
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	High/Med/Low	Low	Medium
	Leadership Development Initiatives	Number	0	500
	New leadership program for managers Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	0	2500
	Total number of leaders participating	Number	0	3000
	rotal number of leaders participating	Number	0	3000
4	Safety: Reduce Injuries by 20%			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	%	10%	20%
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned		
5	Costs: Reduce Operating Expenses by 15%			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	%	5%	15%
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned		
6	Call Cantas Catiofaction, Impressa Catiofaction Cases by 4 Dainta to 2007			
0	Call Center Satisfaction: Improve Satisfaction Score by 4 Points to 80% Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	1.6	4 pts
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned	1.0	4 pts
	impast of Loadorship Bovolophiont initiatives	rtone planned		
7	Comply with New Regulations			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	% in compliance	100%	100%
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned		
8	Innovation: Generate 10 New Patentable Ideas			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	Number	4	10
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned		
0	Detection Income Detection of Ton Desferonce by 5 Desires to 000%			
9	Retention: Improve Retention of Top Performers by 5 Points to 90% Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	-3 pts	5 pts
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	High/Med/Low	-3 pts Low	Low
	Leadership Development Initiatives	i ligit/ivieu/Low	LOW	LOW
	New leadership program for managers	Number	0	500
	Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	0	2500
	New high potential program	Number	0	50
	Total number of leaders participating	Number	0	3050
10	Diversity: Increase Diversity Index by 4 Points to 50%			
	Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	1.1 pts	4 pts
	Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	None planned		

NOTES: (1) Leadership and engagement scores from the semi-annual employee engagement survey

Preparer Notes: The statement could contain a mix of quantitative and qualitative impact measures for the inititatives. For example, a more easily quantifiable goal like increasing sales might have a quantitative impact for the initiatives (like the 4% increase in sales due to the initiative), while a goal like increasing leadership or engagement scores might have a qualitative impact for the initiative like high, medium, or low. For some goals, the initiative may simply be "Essential" to achieving the goal.



Sample Business Outcome Statement

with Quantitative Impacts

Results through June

2018

2019

Priority Business Outcomes and Impact of Leadership Development Unit of Measurement Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total Q1 Q2 YTD Revenue: Increase Sales by 20% \$689 \$764 \$825 \$3,052 \$890 Revenue by Quarter Millions of \$ \$774 \$821 \$1.711 Percent change over year earlier 13.3% 8.8% 10.7% 10.0% 15.0% 19.2% 7.2% 17.1% Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives None planned Leadership: Increase Leadership Score by 5 Points to 73.5% (1) Leadership Score by Quarter Points 67.1 67.5 68 68.5 68.5 69.5 71.5 71.5 Point change over previous quarter (year) Points -0.4 0.4 0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives Points 0 0.4 0.8 0 0.2 0.3 0.5 1.2 New leadership program for managers Number NA 92 284 376 Revised leadership program for supervisors 0 463 501 796 1096 1,892 Number 0 38 New high potential program Number 0 23 0 0 0 25 Coaching for Dept Heads and Officers Number 0 0 7 12 19 15 18 33 Total number of leaders participating Number 0 23 45 475 543 903 1423 2326 Initiative to extend tenure in position for all dept. heads and officer Months 19.9 20.2 20.3 19.7 20.0 23 26 24.5 Engagement: Increase Engagement Score by 3 Points to 69.4% (1) Engagement Score by Quarter 3 66.4 66.4 68.3 Points 65.1 64.9 65.3 67.1 68.3 Point change over previous quarter (year) Points -0.3 -0.2 0.4 1.0 0.7 1.2 1.9 Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.6 Points 0 0 0 0.5 New leadership program for managers Number NA 92 284 376 Revised leadership program for supervisors Number 0 0 38 501 796 1096 1,892 Total number of leaders participating Number Ω 0 38 463 501 888 1380 2268 4 Safety: Reduce Injuries by 20% Number of Injuries by Quarter Number 143 157 165 639 125 130 -10.5% -10.0% -12.6% Percent change over year earlier -8.5% -9.4% -11.6% -17.2% Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives None planned 5 Costs: Reduce Operating Expenses by 15% \$601 Operating Expenses by Quarter \$651 \$623 \$663 \$674 \$2.611 \$541 \$1.142 -13.2% Percent change over year earlier -5.7% -4.8% -4.9% -4.6% -5.0% -7.7% -10.4% Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives None planned 6 Call Center Satisfaction: Improve Satisfaction Score by 4 Points to 84.5% Call Center Satisfaction Score by Quarter Points 79.1 78.4 80.1 80.5 80.5 81.5 83.4 83.4 Point change over previous quarter Points 0.2 -0.7 1.7 0.4 1.0 1.9 2.9 Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives None planned 7 Comply with New Regulations 100% 100% 100% Compliance with New Regulations % in compliance 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives None planned 8 Innovation: Generate 10 New Patentable Ideas for a Total of 48 Number of new patentable ideas created Number 9 10 12 38 11 12 23 -2 2 5 Change over year earlier Number 2 3 4 7 Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives None None planned Retention: Improve Retention of Top Performers by 5 Points to 90.1% Retention of Top Performers Points 87.0 86.3 85.1 85.1 85.8 87.1 87.1 Point change over previous quarter Points -0.3 -0.8 -0.7 -1.2 -3.0 0.7 1.3 2.0 Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives 0.1 0.3 0.4 0 0 0 0.2 0.2 New leadership program for managers NA NA Number NA NA NA 92 284 376 Revised leadership program for supervisors 0 0 38 463 501 796 1096 1.892 Number New high potential program Number 23 23 0 0 23 0 25 0 25 Total number of leaders participating Number 0 38 463 524 888 1405 2293 10 Diversity: Increase Diversity Index by 4 Points to 50% Quarterly Diversity Index **Points** 44.6 44.9 45.8 46.0 46.0 46.7 48.0 48.0 Point change over previous quarter Points -0.3 0.3 0.9 0.2 0.7 1.3 2.0

NOTES: (1) Leadership and engagement scores from the semi-annual employee engagement survey

Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives

Preparer Notes: This detailed statement shows results by quarter. Alternatively, a detailed statement could show results by month and/or at a greater level of granularity. For example, results could be shown at the region, business unit, or district level.

The statement could contain a mix of quantitative and qualitative impact measures for the initiatives. For example, a more easily quantifiable goal like increasing sales might have a quantitative impact for the initiatives (like the 4% increase in sales due to the initiative) while a goal like increasing leadership or engagement scores might have a qualitative impact for the initiative like high, medium, or low. For some goals, the initiative may simply be "Essential" to achieving the goal.

None planned

The Prior Year Total and Current Year Data is required. This can be accumulative, monthly, or quarterly as in this example



Sample List of Effectiveness Measures

<u>Home</u>

	<u>Unit of</u> <u>Measurement</u>	Historical Data Available	<u>Manage</u> or Monitor
Succession Planning			
Succession Planning Success Rate	%	Yes	Monitor
Bench Strength	Number	Yes	Monitor
Bench Time			
Average Bench Time	Months	Yes	Monitor
Average Bench Time at Promotion	Months	Yes	Monitor
Course Evaluations			
Level 1	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Quality	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Intent to apply	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Impact on performance	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Level 3	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Level 4	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Coaching Evaluation			
Level 1	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Quality	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Intent to apply	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Impact on performance	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor
Level 3	% Top Two Boxes	Yes	Monitor



Sample Effectiveness Statement

Results through June

<u>Home</u>

	Unit of	2018	<u>118</u> 2019		
	Measurement	Average	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	YTD
Succession Planning					
Succession Planning Success Rate	%	55%	65%	76%	71%
Bench Strength	Number	0.5	0.7	8.0	8.0
Bench Time					
Average Bench Time - Dept Heads and Officers	Months	20.0	23.0	26.0	24.5
Average Bench Time at Promotion - Dept Heads and Officers	Months	22.0	25.2	25.9	25.6
Course Evaluations					
Level 1	% Top Two Boxes	86%	87%	89%	88%
Quality	% Top Two Boxes	87%	89%	91%	90%
Intent to apply	% Top Two Boxes	84%	84%	88%	86%
Impact on performance	% Top Two Boxes	86%	87%	88%	88%
Level 3	% Top Two Boxes	NA	76%	78%	77%
Level 4	% Top Two Boxes	NA	NA	71%	71%
Coaching Evaluation					
Level 1	% Top Two Boxes	79%	81%	83%	82%
Quality	% Top Two Boxes	74%	79%	81%	80%
Intent to apply	% Top Two Boxes	76%	77%	79%	78%
Impact on performance	% Top Two Boxes	87%	88%	89%	89%
Level 3	% Top Two Boxes	NA	82%	85%	84%
Level 4	% Top Two Boxes	NA	NA	78%	78%

Preparer Notes: Monthly data could also have been used instead of quarterly.

The statement could also show data at a more granular level. For example, measures could be shown for eac or by country, region, or business unit.



Sample List of Efficiency Measures

<u>Home</u>

	Unit of Measurement	Historical Data Available	Manage or Monitor
Leadership Development Cost	Million \$	Yes	Monitor
Participants			
New leadership program for managers	Number	Yes	Monitor
Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	Yes	Monitor
New high potential program	Number	Yes	Monitor
Coaching program	Number	Yes	Monitor
Total number of leaders participating	Number	Yes	Monitor
Succession Planning			
Percentage of Defined Positions with an Identified Successor	%	Yes	Monitor
Percentage of Defined Positions Filled Internally	%	Yes	Monitor
Organization			
Percentage of Managers without Direct Reports	%		
Span of Control - Managers	Number of Reports	Yes	Monitor
Span of Control - Supervisors	Number of Reports	Yes	Monitor
Tenure			
Average Management Tenure	Years	Yes	Monitor



Home

Leadership Development

Sample Efficiency Statement

Results through June

	Unit of	2018				2019			
	<u>Measurement</u>	Actual	<u>Jan</u>	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	<u>Jun</u>	YTD
Leadership Development Cost	Thousands \$	2310	345	389	402	405	423	456	2,420
Participants									
New leadership program for managers	Number	342	0	31	61	74	95	115	376
Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	1732	245	278	273	345	368	383	1,892
New high potential program	Number	18	0	0	0	0	25	0	25
Coaching program	Number	<u>27</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	33
Total number of leaders participating	Number	2119	249	315	339	425	492	506	2326
Succession Planning									
Percentage of Defined Positions with an Identified Successor	%	94%	83%	85%	86%	89%	92%	94%	94%
Percentage of Defined Positions Filled Internally	%	85%	82%	82%	83%	84%	84%	85%	85%
Organization									
Percentage of Managers without Direct Reports	%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%
Span of Control - Managers	Number of Reports	7.0	7.1	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.4
Span of Control - Supervisors	Number of Reports	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.9
Tenure									
Average Management Tenure	Years	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.3	15.4	15.4	15.5	15.5

Preparer Notes: Quarterly data could also have been used instead of monthly. The statement could also contain measures which are not included in the high-level statement.

The statement could also show data at a more granular level. For example, measures could be shown for each initiative or by country, region, or business unit.



Sample Summary Report with Qualitative Impact Measures

Results Through June

2019

		_			2019		
	Unit of	2018			Comparison		Comparison
	Measurement	Actual	Plan	Jun YTD	to Plan	Forecast	to Plan
Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives on							
Leadership: Increase Leadership Score by 5 Points to 73.5% (1)							
	5 · ·						
Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	1 pt	5 pts	3 pts	2 pts below	4.6 pts	.4 pts below
Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	High/Med/Low	Medium	High	High	on plan	High	on plan
Leadership Development Initiatives							
New leadership program for managers	Number	NA	500	376	75%	525	105%
Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	501	2500	1892	76%	2600	104%
New high potential program	Number	23	50	25	50%	50	100%
Coaching for Dept Heads and Officers	Number	<u>19</u>	40	<u>33</u>	83%	<u>50</u>	125%
Total number of leaders participating	Number	543	3090	2326	75%	3225	104%
Initiative to extend tenure in position for all dept. heads and officers	Months	20	27	25	91%	27	100%
initiative to oxiona tenare in position for all dept. Heads and embere	WOTHING	20		20	0170		10070
Engagement: Increase Engagement Score by 3 Points to 69.4% (1)							
Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	1 pt	3 pts	1.9 pts	1.1 pts below	3 pts	on plan
•					•	•	•
Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	High/Med/Low	Low	Medium	Medium	on plan	Medium	on plan
Leadership Development Initiatives							
New leadership program for managers	Number	NA	500	376	75%	525	105%
Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	<u>501</u>	2500	1892	76%	<u>2600</u>	104%
Total number of leaders participating	Number	501	3000	2268	76%	3125	104%
Retention: Improve Retention of Top Performers by 5 Points to 90%							
Corporate Goal or Actual	Points	-3 pts	5 pts	2 pts	3 pts below	5 pts	on plan
Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	High/Med/Low	Low	Low	Low	on plan	Low	on plan
Leadership Development Initiatives							
New leadership program for managers	Number	NA	500	376	75%	525	105%
Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	501	2500	1892	76%	2600	104%
	Number	23		25	50%		100%
New high potential program			<u>50</u>			<u>50</u>	
Total number of leaders participating	Number	524	3050	2293	75%	3175	104%
Effectiveness							
Succession Planning Success Rate	%	55%	70%	71%	1% above	72%	2% above
Bench Strength	Number	0.5	0.7	8.0	109%	0.8	114%
Average Bench Time	Months	20.0	26	25	94%	26	100%
Average Bench Time at Promotion	Months	22.0	28	26	91%	28	100%
Participant Feedback on Courses	% Top Two Boxes	86%	90%	88%	2% below	90%	on plan
Application of Learning on the Job	% Top Two Boxes	NA	85%	77%	8% below	80%	5% below
Partcipant Feedback on Coaching	% Top Two Boxes	79%	85%	82%	3% below	83%	2% below
Application of Coaching on the Job	% Top Two Boxes	NA	80%	78%	2% below	79%	1% below
Application of coaching on the 30b	70 TOP TWO DOXES	INA	00 /0	1070	Z /0 Delow	1370	1 70 DEIOW
Efficiency							
•	0/	000/	1000/	0.40/	00/ 1 1	070/	00/ 1 1
Percentage of Defined Positions with an Identified Successor	%	83%	100%	94%	6% below	97%	3% below
Percentage of Defined Positions Filled Internally	%	82%	90%	85%	4% above	88%	2% below
Percentage of Managers without Direct Reports	%	4%	2%	2%	on plan	2%	on plan
Span of Control - Managers	Number of Reports	7.1	8.0	7.4	93%	7.7	96%
Span of Control - Supervisors	Number of Reports	5.6	7.0	5.9	84%	6.3	90%
Average Management Tenure	Years	15.3	16.0	15.5	97%	15.8	99%
-							

Preparer's Notes: This management report should contain the most important outcome, effectiveness and efficiency measures from the three statements.

The choice of measures is up to each organization.

This example contains qualitative measures (high, medium, low) for the impact of initiatives on goals

instead of quantitative measures like a 4% increase in sales or a 1 point increase in engagement.

The headings like Impact of Talent Acquisition Initiatives are customizable. Choose a heading name that makes sense

for your organization.

Likewise, group the measures together in a way that makes sense for your organization.

The five columns are required. Additional columns may be added such as Forecast as % of Plan

The report could contain a mix of qualitative and quantitative measures for the impact of talent acquisition on the goals.



Sample Program Report
Revised Leadership Program for Supervisors

Reporting principles	caacromp rrogram for	cupervisors								
-1011				2019						
<u>Home</u>	Unit of	2018			Comparison		Comparison			
	Measurement	Actual	Plan	Jun YTD	to Plan	Forecast	to Plan			
Enterprise Goal: Leadership: Increase Leadership Score by 5 Percentage points	%	2.0%	5.0%	3.0%	Below plan	4.6%	Near plan			
Planned Impact of Leadership Development Initiatives	H/M/L	Medium	High	High	On plan	High	On plan			
Goal Owner: William Scheer, Leadership Development Program Leader										
Program: Revised Leadership Program for Supervisors										
<u>Effectiveness</u>										
Course Evaluations for Leadership Program for Supervisors										
Level 1										
Quality	% Top Two Boxes	85%	92%	95%	3% above	94%	2% above			
Intent to apply	% Top Two Boxes	81%	90%	92%	2% above	93%	3% above			
Impact on performance	% Top Two Boxes	75%	85%	88%	3% above	89%	4% above			
Level 3	% Top Two Boxes	NA	80%	86%	6% above	85%	5% above			
Level 4	% Top Two Boxes	NA	75%	80%	5% above	80%	5% above			
Coaching Evaluation for Leadership Program for Supervisors										
Level 1										
Quality	% Top Two Boxes	65%	80%	92%	12% above	92%	12% above			
Intent to apply	% Top Two Boxes	60%	78%	83%	5% above	81%	3% above			
Impact on performance	% Top Two Boxes	55%	75%	81%	6% above	80%	5% above			
Level 3	% Top Two Boxes	NA	85%	90%	5% above	88%	3% above			
Level 4	% Top Two Boxes	NA	88%	90%	2% above	88%	3% above			
<u>Efficiency</u>										
Participants in Leadership Program for Supervisors										
Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	501	2500	1892	76%	2700	108%			
Leadership Development Cost	Thousands \$	305	325	155	48%	350	108%			



Sample Operations Report

Results through June

<u>Home</u>

					2019		
	Unit of	2018			Comparison		Comparison
	Measurement	Actual	Plan	Jun YTD	to Plan	Forecast	to Plan
Effectiveness Measures							
Succession Planning							
Succession Planning Success Rate	%	55%	65%	76%	11% above	72%	7% above
Bench Strength	Number	0.5	0.7	0.8	111%	8.0	111%
Bench Time							
Average Bench Time - Dept Heads and Officers	Months	20.0	23.0	26.0	113%	26.0	113%
Average Bench Time at Promotion - Dept Heads and Officers	Months	22.0	25.2	25.9	103%	28.0	111%
Course Evaluations							
Level 1	% Top Two Boxes	86%	87%	89%	2% above	88%	1% above
Quality	% Top Two Boxes	87%	89%	91%	2% above	90%	1% above
Intent to apply	% Top Two Boxes	84%	84%	88%	3% above	85%	1% above
Impact on performance	% Top Two Boxes	86%	87%	88%	1% above	88%	1% above
Level 3	% Top Two Boxes	NA	76%	78%	2% above	77%	1% above
Level 4	% Top Two Boxes	NA	70%	71%	1% above	72%	2% above
Coaching Evaluation							
Level 1	% Top Two Boxes	79%	81%	83%	2% above	82%	1% above
Quality	% Top Two Boxes	74%	79%	81%	2% above	80%	1% above
Intent to apply	% Top Two Boxes	76%	77%	79%	2% above	78%	1% above
Impact on performance	% Top Two Boxes	87%	88%	89%	1% above	90%	2% above
Level 3	% Top Two Boxes	NA	82%	85%	3% above	85%	3% above
Level 4	% Top Two Boxes	NA	76%	78%	2% above	78%	2% above
Efficiency Measures							
Participants							
New leadership program for managers	Number	NA	500	376	75%	525	105%
Revised leadership program for supervisors	Number	501	2500	1892	76%	2600	104%
New high potential program	Number	23	50	25	50%	50	100%
Coaching program	Number	<u>19</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>83%</u>	<u>50</u>	125%
Total number of leaders participating	Number	543	3090	2326	75%	3225	104%
Succession Planning							
Percentage of Defined Positions with an Identified Successor	%	83%	100%	94%	6% below	97%	3% below
Percentage of Defined Positions Filled Internally	%	82%	90%	85%	5% below	88%	2% below
Organization							
Percentage of Managers without Direct Reports	%	4%	2%	2%	on plan	2%	100%
Span of Control - Managers	Number of Reports	7.1	8.0	7.4	93%	7.7	96%
Span of Control - Supervisors	Number of Reports	5.6	7.0	5.9	84%	6.3	90%
Tenure							
Average Management Tenure	Years	15.3	16.0	15.5	97%	15.8	99%

Preparer Notes: Measures for this management report are selected from the Efficiency Statement. The Operations Report may include all the measures from the Efficiency Statement or a subset. The selected measures should be those that will be actively managed on a monthly basis and thus will have a forecast which can be updated monthly.

The five columns are required. Additional columns may be added including Forecast as a % of Plan.

Headings such as Succession Planning and Organization and the measures included for each are customizable and may be selected to meet the needs of the organization.

Current Year Next Year 2018 2019

Note: Change the current year to also alter the "Next Year" field